

Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology, Reading, UK



The role of spaceborne millimetre-wave radar in the global monitoring of ice cloud

K. A. Browning
P. R. A. Brown
A. J. Illingworth
A. J. Heymsfield
G. M. McFarquhar
M. Gosset

Internal Report No. 38

December 1994

Met Office Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology Department of Meteorology
University of Reading PO Box 243 Reading RG6 6BB United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)118 931 8425 Fax: +44 (0)118 931 8791
www.metoffice.com



Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to assess the potential of a spaceborne 94 GHz radar for providing useful measurements of the vertical distribution and water content of ice clouds on a global scale.

Calculations of longwave (LW) fluxes for a number of model ice clouds are performed. These are used to determine the minimum cloud optical depth that will cause changes in the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) or flux divergence within a cloud layer greater than $10Wm^{-2}$ and in surface downwards LW flux greater than $5Wm^{-2}$ compared to the clear-sky value. These optical depth values are used as the definition of a “radiatively-significant” cloud. Different “thresholds of radiative significance” are calculated for each of the three radiation parameters and also for tropical and mid-latitude cirrus clouds. Extensive observational datasets of ice crystal size spectra from mid-latitude and tropical cirrus are then used to assess the capability of a radar to meet these measurement requirements. A radar with a threshold of -30 dBZ should detect 99% (92%) of “radiatively-significant” clouds in mid-latitudes (tropics). This detection efficiency may be reduced significantly for tropical clouds at very low temperatures (-80C).

The LW flux calculations are also used to establish the required accuracy within which the optical depth should be known in order to estimate LW fluxes or flux divergence to within specified limits of accuracy. Accuracy requirements are also expressed in terms of ice water content (IWC) because of the need to validate cloud parameterization schemes in General circulation Models (GCMs). We consider estimates of ice water content (IWC) derived using radar alone and also using additional information to define the mean crystal size. With crystal size information available, the IWC for samples with a horizontal scale

of 1-2 km may be obtained with a bias of less than 8%. For IWC larger than 0.01gm^{-3} , the random error is in the range +51% to -34% whereas for a value of 0.001gm^{-3} the random error increases to between +82% and -45%. This level of accuracy also represents the best that may be achieved for estimates of the cloud optical depth, and meets the requirements derived from LW flux calculations. In the absence of independent particle size information the bias in estimated IWC is less than 15% whilst the random error is within the range +87% and -54% for IWC greater than 0.01gm^{-3} . This accuracy is sufficient to provide useful constraints on GCM cloud parameterization schemes.

1 Introduction

The role of clouds as a major influence on the Earth's radiation budget and therefore in the control of the global climate system is well-recognised (Senior and Mitchell 1993). The improved understanding of the climate system and predictions of climate change require that the effects of clouds on various components of the system be better described and represented in atmospheric General Circulation Models (GCMs). Of particular importance are the direct effects of clouds on the surface radiation budget and on the vertical profile of radiative heating of the atmosphere. Both have been proposed as key elements of cloud-climate feedback processes (Randall 1989, Ramanathan and Collins 1991). However, clouds also have an indirect impact on atmospheric radiation. The detrainment and subsequent evaporation of cirrus cloud from tropical convection plays a substantial role in the water vapour budget of the upper troposphere. This water vapour, in turn, has a significant radiative effect (Lindzen 1990, Rind et al. 1991).

Global estimation of the short-wave (SW) components of the surface radiation budget (SRB) from satellite radiance measurements have shown some promise (Rieland and

Stuhlmann 1993). However, estimation of the downwelling long-wave (LW) component of the SRB and atmospheric heating profiles requires the use of active sounding methods to provide the necessary measurements of cloud base and cloud top and of the liquid- or ice-water path. A frequency of 94 GHz or thereabouts has been proposed for a space-borne cloud radar (IGPO 1994) primarily because of the sensitivity it provides, its relative freedom from atmospheric attenuation, and its compact antenna size. The primary aim of this paper is, therefore, to assess the potential of such a radar for providing global measurements of the vertical distribution of cloud condensate with accuracy sufficient to enable the determination of the associated radiation budget effects to within some defined limits.

We confine our attention here to stratiform ice clouds. We also concentrate on the consideration of LW fluxes, since it is in this area that current space-based estimates of the SRB and flux profiles are most clearly deficient and for which the determination of vertical cloud profiles and, in particular, the determination of cloud base is expected to make a significant contribution. It is recognized that, in some circumstances, the SW radiative effects of the clouds may have a more significant impact than the LW on the radiation budget terms. An example of this is tropical cirrus where, because of the large water vapour path between the cloud and the surface, the primary impact of the cloud on the SRB comes in the SW region (Ramanathan and Collins 1991). Even in this case, however, the LW effects still lead to atmospheric heating rates comparable with those generated by SW radiation and also to potentially significant dynamical effects (Starr and Cox 1985, Lilly 1988).

Some GCMs now carry cloud water content as a prognostic variable (Smith 1990, Tiedtke 1993). Various parameterizations are used to describe the cloud microphysical

properties. A number of studies have shown how sensitive the simulated climate is to variations in these cloud parameterizations (Gregory 1994, Senior and Mitchell 1993, Tiedtke et al. 1994). Changes in the cloud climatology of a GCM simulation will alter both the direct and indirect radiative effects of the clouds. A second aim of the paper is, therefore, to assess the potential of radar-derived cloud distribution measurements for the validation of GCM cloud parameterization schemes.

In Section 2 of this paper, we establish the requirements for cloud measurements both for the estimation of LW fluxes and for the validation of GCMs. We model the LW fluxes associated with a variety of ice cloud scenarios to arrive at definitions of “radiatively-significant cloud”, *ie.* the minimum cloud that would alter the OLR, SRB or atmospheric heating by more than defined thresholds, and that would therefore need to be detected by a spaceborne radar. These results are also used to assess the accuracy required of the cloud measurements in order to estimate these radiation budget terms to within specified limits. We also assess changes in the ice cloud climatology of GCMs caused by changes in cloud parameterization schemes in order to assess the measurement accuracy required to validate different schemes.

In Section 3, we use extensive observational datasets of ice crystal size spectra from mid-latitude and tropical cirrus to assess how well a radar with defined operating characteristics (principally in terms of its sensitivity) will be able to meet the requirements for cloud detection and measurement accuracy derived in Section 2. We also assess the bias and spread of ice water content estimates from a single radar pulse volume.

Finally, in Section 4 we summarize the capability of spaceborne cloud radar as determined in this study, and discuss further studies needed to resolve uncertainties which

have been identified.

2 Assessment Of Cloud Measurement Requirements.

2.1 Modelling of LW radiation fluxes.

To calculate LW fluxes, we use a single-column version of the radiation scheme in the UK Meteorological Office Unified Model (UM). For ice cloud, it uses a grey-body emissivity approximation of the form

$$\epsilon = 1 - \exp(-D \tau) \quad (1)$$

where τ is the cloud optical depth and D is a diffusivity factor equal to 1.66. The latter accounts for the integration over zenith angle when considering radiation fluxes in plane parallel clouds (Francis et al. 1994). In the regime in which cloud particle size is large compared with the wavelength of the radiation, τ is given by

$$\tau = \frac{3 \text{ IWP}}{4 \rho_{ice} r_e} \quad (2)$$

where IWP is the ice water path in gm^{-2} , ρ_{ice} is the density of solid ice in gcm^{-3} , and r_e is the particle effective radius in μm (Stephens et al. 1990, Francis et al. 1994). It is worth pointing out that there is still some debate about how best to derive r_e from measured particle size spectra in cirrus. For the purposes of this study, we regard it simply as a factor which may be used to scale IWP to obtain τ .

The UM scheme, as employed in the current study, assumes $\tau = K \times \text{IWP}$ where K (a mass absorption coefficient) has a fixed value of $0.039m^2g^{-1}$. This is equivalent to the assumption of a fixed effective radius of $20.8 \mu m$ for solid ice spheres. Stephens et al. (1990) suggest that the inverse relationship between K and r_e may be valid down to values of r_e of around $4\mu m$ (even though the geometric optics assumption on which it is

based is no longer strictly valid). It may therefore be used to scale IWP as a function of τ for values of r_e that differ from the assumed value of $20.8\mu m$. In Figures 2 to 6 that follow, calculated radiation flux quantities are plotted against τ and also against IWP assuming this standard r_e .

Standard profiles of pressure, temperature, and humidity from McClatchey et al. (1972) were used for tropical (TROP) and mid-latitude winter (MLW) atmospheres. Ice clouds were inserted into these profiles at a number of levels. No attempt was made to adjust the profiles for the presence of the cloud, eg. by alteration of the humidity profile to reflect saturation within the cloud layer. Such changes are likely to be of minor importance in the situations described here.

Cloud radar offers the potential to resolve not just cloud geometry (base and top altitudes and multilayering) but also the vertical distribution of ice water content. Thus the cloud scenarios are designed to test the sensitivity of radiation fluxes to both these factors. In each case, we take a basic vertical profile of ice water content (IWC) and multiply this by a range of constant factors to change the total IWP. With the fixed r_e implied by these calculations, changes in IWP are directly proportional to changes in τ .

Four basic cloud scenarios are modelled. As shown in Figure 1, they are (a) a single layer of 500 m thickness in which IWC is constant, (b) a single layer of 2 km thickness also with a constant value of IWC, (c) a single cloud layer in which the IWC increases downwards from the cloud top to represent the redistribution of IWC due to crystal sedimentation, as described for example by Starr and Cox (1985), and (d) a two-layer cloud with the same total IWP as the two thicker single-layer types. These profiles are referred to as CLOUD1, CLOUD2, CLOUD3, and CLOUD4 respectively. We also vary

the altitude of the ice clouds so as to simulate latitudinal variations. For the tropical atmosphere case, the cloud top was located at 16 km whereas for the mid-latitude winter atmosphere, it was located at 9.5 km. Taking a range of multiplying factors between 0.05 and 10, the range of IWP lies between 0.25 and $250gm^{-2}$ giving a range of τ between 0.01 and 10.

Long-term monitoring of cirrus cloud using ground-based lidar suggests that layer thicknesses of 1 – 2km are common for both mid-latitude and tropical cirrus (Platt et al. 1987, Imasu and Iwasaka 1991). The cloud layer thicknesses of the four cloud scenarios are therefore considered to be representative of a wide range of real clouds. The 0.5km thickness employed in CLOUD1 is intended to test the utility of cloud radar for cloud layers that just fill one range gate of width 500m. The effects of clouds layers that only partially fill a range gate will be considered in Section 3.5.

Knollenberg et al. (1993) have observed IWC values in the range 0.001 to $0.1gm^{-3}$ in tropical anvil cirrus at altitudes of around 17km, due mainly to crystals smaller than $50\mu m$. No r_e is quoted for these observations but taking $5\mu m$ as a plausible minimum value, and assuming a cloud depth of 2km, the range of τ for these clouds is between 0.3 and 30. In mid-latitude cirrus, IWC values in the range 0.001 to $0.1gm^{-3}$ are also typical (Brown 1993, Brown and Francis 1995, Heymsfield 1977), with r_e in the range 20 – $300\mu m$ and tending to increase with IWC (Francis et al. 1994). For 2km thick layers, τ is therefore likely to be in the range 0.08 to 8. Since clouds with optical depth greater than about 3 are effectively black bodies for LW radiation, the range of τ covered by the calculations to follow is representative of a wide range of tropical and mid-latitude cirrus.

2.2 Sensitivity Of OLR To Vertical Cloud Structure.

Figure 2 shows the variation of outgoing LW radiative flux (OLR) at the top of the atmosphere for the four cloud models in tropical and mid-latitude winter atmospheres. In these cases, it is clear that the differences between CLOUD2, -3, and -4 due to the effects of multilayering and crystal sedimentation are of second order by comparison with variations in OLR caused by changing τ . Maximum differences between the four cloud models are only around $10Wm^{-2}$ for τ in the range $0.2 - 2$, whereas over this same range OLR itself varies by around $40Wm^{-2}$ in the mid-latitude winter atmosphere or around $120Wm^{-2}$ in the tropical atmosphere.

The sensitivity of OLR to τ disappears for clouds at lower levels for which the surface-to-cloud temperature difference is small. This is illustrated in Figure 2 by an additional set of calculations having the same IWC distribution as CLOUD2, ie. a 2km-thick layer of uniform IWC, but with a cloud-top altitude of only 4km. It also disappears for all model clouds when τ reaches values of around 3. At this point, the emissivity of the topmost parts of the cloud is approaching a value of 1 for all of the four cloud scenarios.

Since OLR is relatively well-determined from existing spaceborne radiance measurements, a cloud radar is not intended to have a major role in the improvement of these measurements. It is conceivable, however, that an unambiguous cloud detection, such as might be provided by cloud radar, might have some impact on the development of 'cloud-clearing' algorithms, ie. those used to isolate contributions to the OLR from cloudy and cloud-free regions.

2.3 Sensitivity Of Downwelling Surface LW Flux To Vertical Cloud Structure.

Figure 3 shows the downwelling LW flux at the surface, F_{\downarrow} , for the mid-latitude winter cases only. In the tropical atmosphere, F_{\downarrow} is almost completely independent of high cloud, being determined mainly by the high intervening water vapour path, and therefore tropical atmosphere results are not included in the figure.

It may be seen that differences in F_{\downarrow} between the four basic cloud scenarios are of the same order as the sensitivity to changes in τ by a factor of two within each set of results. These differences between cloud scenarios are due primarily to differences in the cloud-base altitude (and hence temperature). This point is emphasised by the smallness of the differences between the results for the CLOUD2 and CLOUD3 profiles, which have the same cloud-base, and it is a further illustration of the relatively minor importance of the vertical redistribution of ice water by crystal sedimentation. The point is further emphasised by the inclusion of results for a cloud profile that is otherwise identical to CLOUD2, ie. a 2km-thick layer of uniform IWC, but for which the cloud base is 2km (compared to the 7.5 km of CLOUD2).

It may be seen that the sensitivity of F_{\downarrow} to changes in τ is greater for clouds with lower base altitudes (eg. CLOUD-4 compared to CLOUD-1), and, in the present set of calculations, is a maximum for the cloud layer between 2 and 4 km altitude. This emphasises the importance of the determination of both the cloud optical depth and its altitude in order to establish its effect on F_{\downarrow} .

2.4 Sensitivity Of Atmospheric Heating Profiles To Vertical Cloud Structure.

A major benefit of a spaceborne cloud radar is its promise of giving information that can be used to deduce the vertical profiles of radiative heating within the atmosphere. From the modelled LW flux profiles, we can obtain the divergence of the net LW flux, F_{net} , across the cloud layer, which may in turn be expressed in terms of an atmospheric heating rate using

$$dT/dt = -(1/\rho C_p)[(dF_{net}/dz)_{cloudy} - (dF_{net}/dz)_{clear}] \quad (3)$$

The second term in the square brackets in (3) is intended to enable identification of atmospheric heating effects solely due to the cloud layer itself. If this expression were simply evaluated over the depth of the individual cloud layer, then the values for the CLOUD1 scenario would be significantly larger because the flux divergence is concentrated over a thinner cloud layer. In order to compare results for the four different cloud scenarios, we therefore calculate a heating rate for a 4km-deep layer below the cloud top, equivalent to the total altitude range occupied by CLOUD4. The results of these calculations are shown in Figure 4(a). Cloud radar data able to localise the cloud top and base to within 0.5 km would enable these atmospheric heating effects to be specified over layers about 1km thick. This is comparable with the vertical resolution of GCMs.

There is a clear contrast in Figure 4(a) between the mid-latitude winter and tropical cloud cases. For the tropical clouds, there is net LW heating of the atmosphere in all cases, and the magnitude is a function of both the cloud vertical structure and τ . For the mid-latitude winter clouds, there is net LW cooling of the atmosphere in all cases, but this is very small in magnitude (less than $1Kday^{-1}$) and has little sensitivity to τ , the

differences being due primarily to the effects of the cloud vertical structure. In the tropical case, for any particular value of τ , the maximum heating rate occurs for CLOUD1 and the minimum for CLOUD4, which have, respectively, the largest and smallest temperature contrasts between the cloud-base and the surface. Thus for CLOUD4, the cloud-base region experiences less warming than CLOUD1 whilst the cloud-top cooling remains the same, leading to reduced net heating in the former case. The overall heating rate for CLOUD4 is therefore lower simply because it has the lower and warmer cloudbase. It is also noted that differences in the heating rates between the four cloud models are of similar magnitude to the changes that can be induced in any one cloud model by a change in τ of a factor of 2.

For the two-layer CLOUD4, atmospheric heating rates can be calculated separately for the top and bottom layers. These are shown in Figure 4(b), where the heating rates refer to each of the 1km-thick cloud-filled layers. The general form of the curves for both upper and lower cloud layers is similar in the tropical atmosphere to that in the mid-latitude winter atmosphere. However, as τ increases, there is a change from net cooling to net warming of the lower layer in the mid-latitude atmosphere, and from net warming to net cooling of the upper layer in the tropical atmosphere. The detail of this change is determined by the temperature differences between the surface and the lower cloud and between the two cloud layers. The potential of the cloud radar to identify cloud height and layer separation is clearly of crucial importance in determining the radiative heating profiles of such multi-layer cloud systems.

Figure 5 shows the variation of LW heating rate with altitude for the CLOUD3 model for a range of values of τ in the mid-latitude winter atmosphere. As shown previously, the net LW heating of the cloud layer is small and insensitive to changing τ , but the actual

magnitudes of cloud-base warming and cloud-top cooling vary greatly. Changes in the two are almost in balance, hence the small changes in net heating, but with large changes in the degree of radiative destabilization of the cloud layer. The intensity of radiatively-driven convective motions within the cloud layer is likely to be significant in determining its persistence and microphysical properties. This has been discussed by Starr and Cox (1985) and Lilly (1988).

2.5 Criterion for radiatively-significant clouds expressed in terms of optical depth.

We shall adopt the working definition that a radiatively-significant cloud is one that causes either the OLR or flux divergence (ΔF) within a cloud layer to change by more than $10Wm^{-2}$ or the downwelling LW flux at the surface (F_{\downarrow}) to change by more than $5Wm^{-2}$ from the relevant clear-sky values. The choice of a smaller threshold for F_{\downarrow} was determined by the reduced contrast in this parameter between clear-sky and optically-thick cloud cases and the desire to obtain a similar degree of resolution of this range as that for OLR. For the tropical atmosphere, a ΔF of $10Wm^{-2}$ over the 4km depth below the tropopause corresponds to a heating rate of $1.2Kday^{-1}$. This is comparable in magnitude to the clear-sky heating rates in the tropical troposphere. In the mid-latitude winter atmosphere, this flux divergence corresponds to a heating rate of $0.4Kday^{-1}$ over the same 4km region below the tropopause. This compares with clear-sky heating rates of around $-1.5Kday^{-1}$.

From the data shown in Figures 2,3, and 4, we determine the minimum optical depth that will create a radiatively-significant cloud according to the above definition. This is referred to as the "threshold of radiative significance", τ_{TRS} . Different values of τ_{TRS} are

derived for each of the three quantities, OLR, F_1 , and ΔF and are shown in Table 1. It is evident that the most stringent criteria for cloud detection are those for tropical clouds, the criterion for OLR being closely followed by that for ΔF . Expressed in terms of IWP, and assuming a pessimistic value of $r_e = 10\mu m$, an optical depth of 0.04 corresponds to an IWP of $0.5gm^{-2}$ or an IWC of $5 \times 10^{-4}gm^{-3}$ uniformly distributed over a 1km thick layer.

Values of τ_{TRS} for mid-latitude clouds are usually less stringent than for the tropical clouds, except in the case of the effect of low-level ice clouds on F_1 . This case is somewhat analogous to the impact of high tropical cirrus on OLR: when the temperature contrast between the cloud and the background is high, optically thin clouds have a high impact on LW fluxes.

2.6 Criterion for the required accuracy of optical depth measurements.

We now seek to establish criteria for the accuracy of measurement of τ in order to estimate LW fluxes or flux divergences to within defined limits of accuracy. These limits are again chosen to be $\pm 10Wm^{-2}$ for OLR and ΔF and $\pm 5Wm^{-2}$ for F_1 . We define the quantity

$$\Delta \tau = \frac{\Delta_G}{(\delta G / \delta(\text{Log} \tau))_{max}} \quad (4)$$

where G is one of either OLR, F_1 , or ΔF , and Δ_G is the accuracy limit appropriate to each of these three radiation quantities. Thus, a measurement of $\text{Log}(\tau)$ falling within the range $\pm \Delta \tau$ of the true value will enable the LW fluxes or flux divergence to be estimated to within the required limits.

Values of $\Delta \tau$ appropriate to the estimation of OLR, F_1 , and ΔF are shown in Table 2. It should be noted that a value of $\Delta \tau = 0.3$ implies that τ should be measured to

within $+100\%$ and -50% of its true value. Similarly, $\Delta \tau = 0.15$ implies measurement to within $+40\%$ and -30% . Once again, the measurement accuracy requirements are most demanding for the estimation of OLR for the tropical cloud layers. However, existing space-based measurements of OLR already achieve an accuracy of better than the $10Wm^{-2}$ limit specified here (Barkstrom et al. 1989), and this may therefore be a less significant issue for a future spaceborne radar. The real importance of the latter lies in its potential ability to distinguish between cloud formations which produce the same OLR but which generate different downwelling fluxes and atmospheric heating rates.

2.7 Accuracy requirement for the determination of cloud altitude.

The effects of the vertical resolution of cloud radar measurements are considered in Figure 6. These show the variations in calculated LW fluxes and LW heating rates within the cloud-containing layer when a cloud of 2km thickness and an optical depth of 0.98 (an IWP of $25gm^{-2}$ for $r_e = 25\mu m$) is positioned at a range of altitudes in the tropical and mid-latitude winter atmospheres. This value of τ is in the range where the clouds are optically-thin, so that the sensitivity of the radiation budget parameters to cloud altitude will not be a maximum, but is representative of typical values for cirrus. Variations of the cloud altitude by 500m cause variations in OLR of only approximately $5Wm^{-2}$ in both tropical and mid-latitude winter cases. The surface downward LW flux in the tropical atmosphere has almost no dependance on cloud altitude due to the large water vapour path between cloud base and the surface and is, therefore, not shown in Figure 6. In the the mid-latitude winter atmosphere, the surface downward LW flux varies by approximately $3Wm^{-2}$ per 500m change in cloud (base) altitude. This value rises to around $6Wm^{-2}$ per

500m change for optically-thick cloud layers (IGPO 1994).

The sensitivity of the net LW heating rate of the 2 km layer containing the cloud is greatest for layers near the tropopause in the tropical atmosphere, with variations of approximately $3K day^{-1}$ per 500m change in cloud altitude. The transition between net heating and net cooling of the cloud layer occurs at a cloud-top altitude of around 11 km in the tropical atmosphere. For the mid-latitude winter clouds, the maximum variation of the net LW cooling of the 2 km cloud layer is around $0.5K day^{-1}$ per 500m change in layer altitude. For optically-thick clouds in each region, the sensitivities may be approximately double these values, ie. $6K day^{-1}$ and $1K day^{-1}$ per 500m step for clouds near the tropical and mid-latitude winter tropopause, respectively (IGPO 1994).

If the LW heating rates were to be calculated over the upper 4km of the troposphere, such as was done in section 2.4, then they will be about half the above values. It may then be noted that for the cloud with $\tau = 0.98$, the error in ΔF due to a 500m change in cloud altitude is approximately $10Wm^{-2}$. We conclude, therefore, that a measurement accuracy of 500m is consistent with the criteria discussed in sections 2.5 and 2.6.

2.8 Accuracy Of Estimates Of IWC Required To Validate GCMs.

The preceding sections have considered measurement requirements related to the direct effects of clouds on the LW radiation fluxes. For the indirect effects of clouds through their influence on the atmospheric water vapour distribution, it is difficult to establish clear criteria relating cloud measurements to the resulting radiative impact of the water vapour. However, for these processes to be modelled accurately within a GCM, it is clear that a necessary requirement is that the GCM should produce the correct cloud climatology, for example in terms of IWC. We shall therefore briefly review some GCM

experiments that have demonstrated the sensitivity of zonal mean values of IWC to the microphysical details of the cloud parameterisation schemes. We shall then consider if a spaceborne radar could detect such changes in IWC and so provide validation of the various proposed schemes.

In a study of the sensitivity of the UK Meteorological Office climate model to cloud microphysics, Gregory (1994) has examined the response to changes in the parameterisation of mixed phase clouds. In one version of the UKMO model the fraction of the cloud water that is in the ice phase as opposed to supercooled water, is assumed to increase monotonically from zero at 0 C to 100% at about -15 C. Observations by Moss and Johnson (1994) suggest that the temperature at which a given ice fraction occurs should be increased by a few degrees compared with that in the version examined by Gregory. When this change was incorporated in the model Gregory found that the effect was dramatic and lead to a marked decrease in the amount of ice in the mid-latitude depression tracks during the winter at 50° N; the zonal mean values of ice water content (IWC) at about 800mb decreased from about 0.04 to 0.01 gm^{-3} . These changes occurred in a model layer of about 100mb in thickness.

The effect of changes in the microphysics in the ECMWF model has been explored by Tiedtke et al (1994). They compared the zonally averaged mean values of IWP calculated over each model layer for two different schemes having slightly different terminal velocities for the ice particles in cirrus clouds. One scheme was based on Heymsfield and Donner (1990) in which the ice fallspeed is specified as a function of IWC and typically lies in the range 0.2 – 0.7 ms^{-1} . The other was based on Sundqvist (1978) who specifies a constant 1 ms^{-1} fallspeed. The largest changes occurred in the tropics at the 300mb level where the zonal mean IWC of about 0.03 gm^{-3} was reduced by about 50%.

These two examples for ice water content - one at 300mb in the tropics, the other at 800mb in the mid-latitude storm tracks - suggest that models are holding zonal mean values of IWC of up to 0.03 gm^{-3} (strictly, 0.1 gm^{-3} with 30% cloud cover) and that these can change by more than +100% or -50% according to the parameterisation scheme. A spaceborne radar able to deliver this level of accuracy may therefore be of value in the validation of ice cloud parameterization schemes.

3 Use Of Observed Ice Crystal Size Spectra To Quantify Accuracy Of Radar-derived IWC Estimates.

In sections 2.5 and 2.6, the requirements for the detection and measurement accuracy of radiatively-significant clouds were established in terms of optical depth, τ . Aircraft-based measurements at a single level cannot measure τ directly. We therefore relate radar reflectivity measurements to a quantity which can be measured directly, namely IWC. This has the additional advantage that IWC obtained from the integration of particle size spectra can also be validated against bulk measurements (Brown 1993, Brown and Francis 1995). In Section 4, we shall then relate the radar-derived IWC estimates to τ through Equation (2), making assumptions about cloud depth and using either measured or assumed r_e .

3.1 Sources of Observational Data In Ice Clouds.

The first of the two sets of measurements discussed in this paper was obtained using the C-130 aircraft of the UK Meteorological Office. Three of the flights were a part of the field phase of the European Cloud Radiation EXperiment (EUCREX) which took place during September and October 1993. EUCREX flights sampled cirrus associated with

frontal systems in the vicinity of northern Scotland. The dataset also incorporates two additional flights in frontal cirrus cloud around the UK, which were performed during April 1992. For convenience, this set of five flights is referred to as the EUCREX dataset. It comprises a total of 7900 5-second averaged size spectra (from a total of approximately 5100km of flight) measured at temperatures between -10 and -50C.

The second set of measurements was made during the Central Equatorial Pacific Experiment (CEPEX) which took place during March and early April 1993. It sampled tropical cirrus clouds using a Learjet aircraft of Aeromet, Inc. (Heymsfield and McFarquhar 1994). This dataset comprises 11700 10-second averaged size spectra (from approximately 22800 km of horizontal flight) measured at temperatures between -10 and -65C.

Spatial scales represented by each observation are between about 500m and 2km for the range of airspeeds of the two aircraft platforms ($100 - 200ms^{-1}$). This is comparable with the 1km cross-track footprint of a proposed spaceborne cloud radar (IGPO 1994).

For both datasets, the primary instrument used to measure ice crystal size spectra was the 2D Optical Array Probe (Knollenberg 1980). IWC was calculated from the 2D probe particle images using

$$I_{2D} = \sum_{D_{min}}^{D_{max}} n(D).M(D) \quad (5)$$

where the summation is over the range of particle size recorded by the 2D probe. The crystal mass is given by

$$M(D) = 7.38 \times 10^{-11} D^{1.9} \quad (6)$$

where M is in *g* and D is the crystal diameter in μm . This is equivalent to the assumption that the bulk density of quasi-spherical crystals is proportional to $D^{-1.1}$. For data which

form part of the EUCREX dataset, this expression was found to give good agreement between the 2D-derived IWC and that derived using a total water content (TWC) probe (Brown and Francis 1995). It was, therefore, applied to both the EUCREX and CEPEX datasets. Details of the algorithms for the derivation of the 94GHz equivalent radar reflectivity (Z) from measured ice crystal size spectra, are described in more detail by Gosset et al. (1994).

Arnott et al. (1994) have used measurements from a crystal replicator, able to resolve crystals down to around $5\mu\text{m}$ in diameter, to show that the 2D probe does not reliably detect crystals below about $100\mu\text{m}$ in diameter. A similar conclusion was reached by Brown (1989) using holographic measurements of ice crystals. Crystals of such size are not expected to have a significant effect on the radar reflectivity. However, their effect on the total IWC may be large. The Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP), normally used to provide measurements of liquid cloud droplets, has been used in this study to obtain a crude estimate of the contribution to IWC from crystals below the lower size limit of the 2D probe. From CEPEX measurements, we estimate that when the total IWC is 0.001gm^{-3} , only 20 – 40% of this may be measured by the 2D probe. This estimate should, however, be regarded very much as an upper limit to the IWC due to such small crystals. This problem is not encountered within the EUCREX dataset (S Moss, personal communication). The possible implications of the underestimation of IWC by the 2D probe will be discussed further below. For the future improved assessment of the radiative impact of different regions of ice clouds, it is necessary to obtain much better measurements of the occurrence and distribution of the small crystals. The more widespread use of crystal replicators with automated data analysis systems, together with new developments in holographic particle imaging (Lawson and Cormack 1993), offer the

prospect of significant advances in this area.

3.2 Variations In Ice Particles Size Spectra As A Cause Of Error In IWC Estimation.

Scatter plots of IWC as a function of Z for each of the two datasets are shown in Figure 7. Atlas and Bartnoff (1953) and Atlas et al. (1994) show that some of the scatter present in these distributions is caused by variations in the shape of the particle size spectrum. A convenient means of expressing this variation is in terms of D^* , the scale diameter of an inverse-exponential fit to the measured size spectrum,

$$N(D) = N_0 \exp(-D/D^*) \quad (7)$$

For spherical particles, IWC and Z are given by the third and sixth moments of this distribution, respectively, and it is therefore quite plausible that there will be combinations of the parameters N_0 and D^* that give the same IWC. Spectra with larger values of D^* will give higher values of Z for a given value of IWC due to the greater number of large particles present. This is illustrated by Gosset et al. (1994) and Atlas et al. (1994) and is evident in the measurements shown in Figure 7.

In simple terms, the remote sensing of the characteristics of cloud particle size spectra (ie. N_0 and D^*), using either active or passive methods, involves the use of two or more different wavelengths that have different sensitivities to particle size. Intrieri et al. (1993) describe a method based on a combination of radar and lidar backscatter measurements. They show that the radar/lidar backscatter ratio can provide particle size information in cirrus clouds, although there are constraints on the range of optical depth for which this method is suitable. The addition of such a measurement capability to a future space mission would inevitably add complexity. The main requirement is to

determine surface and atmospheric radiative heating, but it is conceivable that an estimate of IWC sufficiently accurate for other purposes may be achievable using cloud radar measurements alone. In the following sections, therefore, we shall attempt to illustrate both the performance of a radar alone and the incremental improvements in measurement accuracy that might be obtained by use of additional particle size measurements. We shall also assess the impact of these accuracies on the use of cloud radar data for radiation budget studies and the validation of GCM cloud parameterization schemes.

3.3 Uncertainty in IWC for a given value of Z

The total range of IWC values at a fixed Z is large (approximately 1.5 orders of magnitude at -20 dBZ), as shown in Figure 7. The reasons for this are discussed by Atlas et al. (1994) and Gosset et al. (1994). However, a scatter plot such as this tends to mask the fact that a majority of the observations at a given Z occur within a narrower range of IWC. To obtain a more realistic view of the probable range of IWC for a given Z , we calculate the mean, $\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$, and standard deviation, $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}$, of observations falling within ranges of Z of width 2.5 dBZ. For data with a log-normal distribution, as is approximately the case for the current datasets, $10^{\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}}$ gives the most probable value, IWC_{mode} and $10^{\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}}$ gives a factor by which to multiply or divide IWC_{mode} in order to encompass 68% of the observations. For $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)} = 0.15$ this factor has a value of 1.41; therefore 68% of observations lie in the range within +41% and -29% of IWC_{mode} . For $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)} = 0.30$ the range lies between +100% and -50%.

Values of $\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$ and $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}$ are shown in Table 3 for three representative values of Z . For each dataset, three sets of values are given: (i) values based on all observations without any stratification of the data, (ii) values based on observations that have been

sorted into six ranges of D^* , and (iii) values based on observations that have been separated into two bands of temperature with a dividing threshold at -40°C . Stratification of the data using D^* follows the theoretical treatment of Atlas et al. (1994) and Gosset et al. (1994), showing that both IWC and D^* or some other characteristic particle size are needed to specify Z tightly. There is some evidence (Heymsfield and Platt 1984, Kosarev and Mazin 1991) that ice crystal size spectra observed at warmer temperatures tend to contain an increasing fraction of large particles, leading to higher values of D^* . Thus, it is conceivable that temperature might be used as a proxy for D^* .

The main points to note from Table 3 are that the mean values of $\text{Log}(IWC)$ for a given Z in the two datasets are broadly similar and that in the majority of cases, $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}$ is less than 0.30 (ie. data in the range $+100\%$ to -50% of the mode). This is further emphasised in Figure 8 which shows $\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$ and $\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}$ in each 2.5 dBZ interval between -50 and $+10$ dBZ. For $-30 < Z < +5$ dBZ, the maximum difference in $\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$ between EUCREX and CEPEX is 0.17, corresponding to a difference in IWC of 48%, but with most values being much less than this. For $Z < -30$ dBZ, differences in $\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$ between the two datasets result primarily from differences in the range of values of D^* included in the data sample. In this range of Z , the CEPEX data have D^* predominantly less than $100\mu\text{m}$ whereas the EUCREX data have a wider spread of D^* . Thus the CEPEX data have, on average, a higher IWC for a given Z . The extent to which this represents real differences in the microphysics of the clouds in these two datasets or merely the sampling of clouds over different ranges of altitude and temperature and with different life histories is unknown. This question will, however, require further study if $Z - IWC$ relationships applicable to all regions of the globe are to be obtained.

The classification of observations using the measured value of D^* generally results in a

significant reduction in $\sigma_{\log(I)}$ and hence the uncertainty in IWC. Differences in $\overline{\log(IWC)}$ between the two datasets for any one D^* class are, in the majority of cases less than 0.14, corresponding to differences in IWC of 40%. Within each dataset, classification by means of the -40C temperature threshold can lead to a subset of the the observations having a slightly reduced uncertainty, although the other subset at each reflectivity then has an uncertainty increased by a comparable amount.

A further point to note from Table 3 is the reduction in $\sigma_{\log(I)}$ for unclassified data as Z increases. This arises through the combined effects of Mie scattering and the assumed decrease of crystal bulk density with diameter in limiting Z as D^* increases (Gosset et al. 1994). In both datasets, the observations at higher Z tend also to have higher D^* , and thus fall into a narrower range of IWC. Our conclusions here differ somewhat from those of Atlas et al (1994). They assume a constant bulk density for all crystals which gives a broader range of IWC at fixed Z . Since many of our subsequent conclusions about the radar capability depend strongly on the relatively small scatter of IWC values at fixed Z , the validation of the theoretically-derived Z will be a key use for the ground- and aircraft-based millimetre-wave radars which are now coming into use. The simultaneous and independent measurement of IWC will also be a key feature of such studies.

3.4 Accuracy of IWC estimates derived from Z .

We now derive estimates of the IWC using the calculated values of Z . The latter are taken as a proxy for actual cloud radar observations. We calculate two different estimates, (i) from Z alone, this being referred to as IWC_Z , and (ii) from a combination of measurements of Z and D^* to obtain a value of IWC_{Z,D^*} . Where the discussion refers in general terms to the IWC derived by either of these three methods, we will term this IWC_{estim} as

opposed to the true measured value, IWC_{true} . Stratification of the observations using a simple temperature threshold was shown to have a mixed effect on reducing the range of uncertainty of IWC at fixed Z and was therefore not pursued as a method of deriving IWC estimates.

For each dataset, all observations were ranked contiguously day-by-day and run-by-run. Only the first 2000 of the 7900 ranked EUCREX observations and the first 3000 of the 11700 ranked CEPEX observations were used to derive functional relationships between $\text{Log}(IWC)$ and Z . These functions were then applied to all observations within each dataset to derive the estimated IWC, so as to test their representativeness. Atlas et al. (1994) suggest that for a particular experiment location, there may be systematic changes on a day-to-day basis in the bulk relationships between IWC and Z (ie. when these are unstratified by any other data input such as D^*). By using only a part of each dataset to derive $IWC - Z$ relationships, our approach is designed to accommodate the effect of such changes and to identify any possible biases that they might introduce in IWC_{estim} .

3.4.1 Derivation of IWC_Z

The relationship used in the case of the EUCREX dataset was a simple linear least-squares fit of $\text{Log}(IWC)$ as a function of Z , ie.

$$\text{Log}_{10}(IWC_Z) = aZ + b \quad (8)$$

The parameters a and b of this function are shown in Table 4 for both the datasets. For the CEPEX dataset, however, the simple fit shown in Table 4 was replaced by an interpolation between the mean values of $\text{Log}(IWC)$ in each 2.5 dBZ range of Z . This interpolation

was used because the CEPEX dataset contains a significant number of observations at IWC values between 0.1 and 1 gm^{-3} which have values of D^* mostly exceeding $150 \mu\text{m}$. The effects of Mie scattering in limiting the range of values of Z for a given IWC within this range (see Figure 7(b)) are such that a simple linear fit to the CEPEX data is inappropriate. The problem was also apparent for EUCREX data but because of the relative lack of samples in this high-IWC and high- D^* region, the interpolation method was not found to provide any significant improvement on the simple linear fit.

3.4.2 Derivation of IWC_{Z,D^*} .

In both datasets, linear least-squares fits of $\text{Log}(IWC)$ as a function of Z were calculated for observations in six classes of D^* , the fit parameters being as shown in Table 4. It is noted that the maximum values of a approach the theoretical maximum of 0.1 which would be obtained for a perfect inverse-exponential size spectrum (Atlas et al. 1994, Gosset et al. 1994). There is good agreement between the fit parameters derived from the two datasets for the same D^* class. Differences in IWC for a fixed value of Z only exceed 25% in circumstances in which one or other dataset has a relatively small sample size eg. for $D^* < 50 \mu\text{m}$ and $Z > -10 \text{ dBZ}$ where the CEPEX dataset has a small sample size compared to that of the EUCREX dataset. The observed value of D^* is used to assign an observation to one of the six classes, and therefore to select the appropriate set of fit parameters from which to calculate IWC_{Z,D^*} .

3.4.3 Comparison of the performance of different IWC estimates.

Estimated values of IWC using the two methods described in Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 are shown as a function of the measured IWC in Figure 9. In both the EUCREX and CEPEX datasets, IWC_{Z,D^*} shows a lower degree of scatter than IWC_Z . In the context in which measurements of Z are to be used, namely the estimation of radiation budget parameters, it is necessary to assess quantitatively both the random and bias errors in IWC estimates. As noted previously, the kind of presentation in Figure 9 accentuates the scatter and tends to mask the fact that a majority of the data lie within a narrower range. Thus, we shall again calculate means and standard deviations of $\text{Log}(IWC_{estim})$ as a function of $\text{Log}(IWC_{true})$, assuming an approximate log-normal distribution in IWC_{estim} . These are shown in Figure 10, and a selection of representative values is tabulated in Table 5.

From Figure 10 and Table 5, we can draw the following main conclusions applicable to both datasets for samples representing a horizontal average of approximately 1-2 km and with IWC greater than 0.01 gm^{-3} . (i) If Z alone is measured, then the random error in values of IWC_Z is within the range +86% to -46%. (ii) If Z and D^* are known, the error in IWC_{Z,D^*} is reduced to within the range +51% to -34%. (iii) The bias in the mean value of IWC_Z derived from many observations of Z alone is less than 12%. For IWC_{Z,D^*} , this bias is reduced to about 7%. Somewhat larger bias and random errors occur for lower values of IWC_{true} .

3.5 Errors in IWP estimates due to incomplete filling of range gates.

Observations of the cirrus cloud show a large amount of spatial variability including in the vertical. Lidar measurements of cirrus made from the ground (eg. Platt et al. 1987,

Imasu and Iwasaka 1991, etc.) show that layer thicknesses commonly exceed 1 km but that the minimum layer thickness may be as small as 200 m. The minimum range gate size of a spaceborne radar is limited by signal-to-noise ratio considerations, and a value of 500m has been suggested (IGPO 1994). Thus, it is likely that many actual cloud layers will only partially-fill some range gates. It is necessary to consider the effect that this might have on cloud properties retrieved using radar data.

Suppose that a particular range gate contains only a fraction, f , of cloud. The returned power will be reduced by an amount $10\log_{10}(f)$ dBZ. Taking the EUCREX dataset described above as an example, it is found that $d(\log_{10}IWC)/d(Z) \simeq 0.07$ for the dataset without any further classification of the observations. Thus, the IWC estimated for this partially-filled layer is reduced by a factor Δ_I , where

$$\Delta_I = 0.07(10.\log_{10}f) \quad (9)$$

Since this IWC estimate will be ascribed to the full depth of the range gate, the contribution to the IWP from this range gate will be in error by a factor of

$$IWP_{estim}/IWP_{true} = 1/f * 10^{\Delta_I} \quad (10)$$

This has a value of approximately 2 for a gate-filling fraction $f = 0.1$, and decreases to 1 for a completely filled gate.

The effect of such partially-filled range gates is felt most when a cloud layer of 500m or less in thickness straddles the boundary between two range gates. This situation is illustrated in Figure 11, which shows the ratio of estimated to true IWP for cloud layers of between 100 and 500 m in thickness which fall into two range gates. These calculations assume that the reflectivity of a partially-filled range gate remains above the detection threshold. Where the cloud layer spans one or more range gates, the possible biases

implied by Figure 11 are greatly reduced. Thus for a 700m thick layer, the maximum bias in estimated IWP is approximately 15% and, for a 1.2km layer, approximately 8%. Such biases are however always positive, ie. the estimated IWP will always exceed the true value.

4 Cloud Radar Measurement Capabilities In Relation To Requirements.

We first seek to reconcile the measurement requirements (from Section 2) derived in terms of optical depth with the capabilities (from Section 3) described in terms of IWC. It is clear from Equation (2) that a measurement of τ requires knowledge of both IWC and r_e . In the derivation of IWC_{Z,D^*} , it is implicit that a measurement of D^* is available, from which r_e may also be estimated. The error estimates for IWC_{Z,D^*} therefore represent the best possible accuracy for τ . A full assessment of the accuracy of τ must await the knowledge of the error characteristics of the D^* (or r_e) measurement.

Because they include no knowledge of r_e , our estimates of IWC_Z cannot be related directly to τ or cloud radiative properties. We will, however, still seek to examine their applicability to the validation of GCM ice cloud climatology. In order to estimate LW fluxes from cloud radar alone, it would be necessary to establish relationships between Z and β , the volume absorption coefficient. For LW radiation, this is simply the total particle cross-sectional area per unit volume (Francis et al. 1994), which nominally represents a lower moment of the particle size spectrum than IWC. It might therefore be expected that, for a fixed value of Z , β would show more scatter than IWC. However, the IWC algorithm used in the present study has crystal mass proportional to $D^{1.9}$ (Gosset et al 1994), which has been validated for a subset of the EUCREX dataset by independent

IWC measurements (Brown and Francis 1995). If the crystal cross-sectional area was also proportional to $D^{1.9}$, or a similar power, then β and IWC would show similar degrees of scatter at fixed Z . There is some evidence in 2D probe estimates of β that this may occur in the EUCREX dataset (S Moss, personal communication). However, improved measurements of β covering the size range below that of the 2D probe are required in order for the potential value of radar-only β - Z to be properly assessed.

4.1 Detectability of ice clouds.

We have, in Section 2, defined a radiatively significant cloud as one which changes OLR or ΔF (LW flux divergence within a cloud layer) by more than $10Wm^{-2}$ or which changes F_{\downarrow} (the surface downwards LW flux) by more than $5Wm^{-2}$, all three of these parameters being taken relative to the clear sky values. Table 1 gives the values of τ_{TRS} , the minimum optical depth that will constitute a radiatively-significant cloud for the range of modelled cloud scenarios. We now use the values of IWC, Z , and D^* from the two observational datasets in Section 3 to estimate the fraction of radiatively-significant clouds that would be detected by a radar with a given sensitivity threshold. We assume that each observation is representative of a 1 km-thick cloud layer with a uniform distribution of IWC and D^* in the vertical and calculate the optical depth, τ_{est} , of such a layer. By combining *measured* values of IWC and D^* in this estimate of τ , there is no conflict with the inability, described previously, to estimate τ from radar measurements alone.

For an inverse-exponential size distribution of quasi-spherical ice crystals, the effective radius, $r_e = 1.5 D^*$. Thus, from (1), the optical depth of a 1km thick cloud is given by

$$\tau_{est} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1000 \cdot IWC}{1.5 D^* \rho_{ice}} \quad (11)$$

We may then determine the detection efficiency from the fraction of observations with $\tau_{est} > \tau_{TRS}$ for which Z is also greater than the radar threshold. We test EUCREX data against τ_{TRS} values which are the minima of the various values determined for the mid-latitude winter atmosphere in Table 1. Similarly, CEPEX data are tested against minima of the τ_{TRS} values calculated for the tropical atmosphere. The results are shown in Table 6 for three different radar sensitivity thresholds.

Arnott et al. (1994) suggest that, due to a combination of the detection of smaller crystals and undercounting by the 2D probe in the size range $25 - 100\mu m$, it may be common for absorption cross-sections, and hence optical depths to be a factor of two larger than would be deduced from 2D probe data alone. We have therefore made a further estimate of the cloud detection efficiency, assuming the value of τ_{est} to be double that obtained from (11). These results are shown in brackets in Table 6.

The figures in Table 6 suggest that a radar with a threshold of -30 dBZ would be a highly-efficient detector of radiatively-significant mid-latitude cirrus. This applies even in the event that the cloud optical depths are double those deduced from 2D probe data alone. For a radar threshold of -20 dBZ, the detection efficiency falls significantly, such that around 10% of radiatively-significant cloud may not be detected.

For the CEPEX dataset, a radar threshold of -30 dBZ remains an efficient detector of radiatively-significant cloud provided that the 2D probe-derived estimate of optical depth is valid. However, even in the event of the optical depth being double these estimates, it would still detect around 92% of clouds that have a significant impact on the LW heating of the upper troposphere.

These conclusions differ somewhat from those of Atlas et al. (1994). Our approach

has been to consider only the fraction of data points that represent $\tau > \tau_{TRS}$ and then to determine what fraction of these also have Z exceeding a chosen threshold. Atlas et al., on the other hand, note that a significant fraction of cloud observations have Z less than a -30 dBZ threshold and then note that some of these undetected points are radiatively-significant.

Knollenberg et al. (1993) have reported microphysical measurements of tropical cirrus anvils at temperatures down to -80C, significantly colder than the minimum of around -65C in the CEPEX dataset. A notable characteristic of these measurements is that the IWC was commonly concentrated in the size range $5 - 50\mu m$, again lower than the majority of the CEPEX data. Since these very small crystals contribute the major part of the optical depth, but generate only very low radar reflectivities, it is possible that the detection efficiency of a -30 dBZ radar would fall further below the values deduced from the CEPEX dataset. This is an extreme case of the underestimation of IWC in 2D probe data that was noted in section 3.1. There is a clear need for further measurements in such very cold tropical cirrus in order to verify the definition of radiative significance and the ability of a radar of a given threshold to detect them. It is also necessary to ascertain the horizontal and vertical extents of such small-particle regions and to quantify possible errors that might be introduced into the assignment of, for example, cloud top heights if they are found to overlay deeper regions of detectable cloud.

It must be borne in mind that the calculations in this section remain a very limited estimate of cloud detectability because they are founded on two particular premises. The first is that the aircraft measurements, which are 5- or 10-second averages along the aircraft track, are representative of cloud volumes of the order of $1km^3$ which might constitute a typical radar pulse volume viewed from space. The second is that they

assume that the distribution of data points in the IWC-Z space, or any similar data space, is identical in our datasets to the actual cloud climatology. There are several reasons why the latter might not be true, not the least of which is that aircraft missions such as those in EUCREX, which are designed to sample cloud microphysical properties, tend to concentrate on the regions where the cloud occurs. Thus, it is by no means certain that the resulting observations will have sampled optically-thick, optically-thin, warmer, or colder clouds with the same frequency with which they actually occurred within the experimental region. A full consideration of the detectability of radiatively-significant cloud by radar must therefore await the outcome of long-duration ground-based measurements of ice clouds using combinations of cloud radar and radiation flux measurements. Such measurements are now possible or planned at a number of locations.

4.2 Accuracy of estimation of LW fluxes

As noted earlier, we take the value of $\sigma_{\log(I)}$ derived from estimates of IWC_{Z,D^*} as an estimate of the best possible accuracy of $\log\tau$. It was observed in Section 2.6 that the most stringent measurement accuracy requirements occur for the estimation of OLR. However, since OLR is already measured with $\pm 10 W m^{-2}$ accuracy, it is more useful to compare the radar capability against the requirements for F_1 and ΔF . The worst-case requirements for $\Delta\tau$ for each of these two quantities obtained from Table 2 are restated in Table 5.

We see from this comparison that for mid-latitude clouds at tropopause-level, IWC_{Z,D^*} achieves the desired accuracy for all purposes, $\sigma_{\log(I)}$ always being less than the minimum requirement of $\Delta\tau = 0.32$. For low-level mid-latitude clouds, the requirement of $\Delta\tau = 0.20$ will probably be met in most circumstances, since the IWC of these clouds is likely to lie in the upper part of the range shown in Table 5. For the tropical clouds, IWC_{Z,D^*}

achieves sufficient accuracy for the estimation of ΔF across the whole range of IWC.

4.3 Validation of GCM cloud parameterization schemes.

From the discussion of GCM experiments in section 2.8, it was evident that the ability to measure zonal mean IWC over typical model layer thicknesses to an accuracy of 50% or better would be just about sufficient for the validation of GCM ice cloud parameterization schemes. To compare the radar capabilities against this requirement, it is most appropriate to consider the bias identified in the ratio of IWC_{estim}/IWC_{true} (the last column of Table 5) since this represents a systematic error in the mean of many observations. It is evident that the bias in IWC_{estim} is less than 20% in all cases, with IWC_{Z,D^*} generally showing the best performance. Thus it is possible that cloud radar data alone may provide measurements of time- and space-averaged IWC that meet the main requirement. Further consideration of errors in radar-derived cloud measurements due to sampling strategies is outside the scope of this paper.

5 Summary and Concluding Remarks.

The purpose of this paper is to examine some of the requirements for global-scale measurements of ice clouds, and to assess the capability of a spaceborne millimetre-wave radar to meet them.

The first step in the paper is to establish benchmarks for radiatively-significant clouds and the measurement accuracies required:

- We define radiatively-significant clouds as those which cause departures from clear-sky values of $10Wm^{-2}$ or more in OLR, $10Wm^{-2}$ or more in the LW flux divergence

within a cloud layer, or $5Wm^{-2}$ in the surface downwelling LW flux. This value of LW flux divergence corresponds to a heating rate of $1.2Kday^{-1}$ for the 4km layer below the tropical tropopause.

- We define the requirement for the accuracy of measurement of cloud optical depth as that needed to estimate these LW radiation quantities to within the same limits.
- Different schemes for the parameterization of layer cloud in GCMs can lead to changes in zonally-averaged IWC which exceed $+100\%$ and -50% and a measurement accuracy in terms of IWC is required that matches this.

We then examine a number of mid-latitude and tropical ice cloud scenarios and, taking the worst-case scenarios (*ie.* the ones that are most demanding in terms of measurement capability), we find that:

- Clouds which are radiatively-significant for LW flux divergence have optical depth exceeding 0.05 (an IWP of $0.63gm^{-2}$ for $r_e = 10\mu m$) and require it to be measured to within $+58\%$ and -37% of the true value.
- Clouds which are radiatively-significant for the surface downwelling LW flux have optical depth exceeding 0.20 (an IWP of $2.50gm^{-2}$ for $r_e = 10\mu m$) and require it to be measured to within $+100\%$ and -50% .
- Clouds which are radiatively-significant for OLR have optical depth exceeding 0.04 (an IWP of $0.50gm^{-2}$ for $r_e = 10\mu m$) and require it to be measured to within $+38\%$ and -28% of the true value. Although this is a more stringent requirement than those in the two preceding bullets, we do not regard the estimation of OLR as the primary task of a cloud radar.

An analysis of some aircraft measurements of ice particle size distributions in cirrus clouds in mid-latitudes and the tropics has been carried out to estimate their radar properties. An ice density that was approximately inversely proportional to the diameter was used because this gave the best agreement with bulk IWC measurements for the mid-latitude cirrus (Brown and Francis 1995). On this basis, we conclude that a spaceborne cloud radar would yield the following information:

(a) Detectability of cirrus

- A radar with a threshold of -30dBZ would detect 99% of mid-latitude cirrus and 92-97% of tropical cirrus clouds defined to be radiatively significant for estimation of LW flux divergence and surface LW flux.
- The detection efficiency for tropical cloud may be increased to 99% by decreasing the radar threshold to -40 dBZ. The detection efficiency of tropical cirrus at extremely low temperatures of around -80C may be lower than these figures.
- The use of co-located lidar measurements as a means of detecting the very cold tropical cirrus may be a more cost-effective strategy than using a more sensitive radar.
- Reduction of the radar threshold to -20 dBZ would mean that around 10% of radiatively-significant mid-latitude cirrus and 20-30% of similar tropical cirrus would be undetected.

(b) Accuracy of measurement.

- If the radar measurement of Z is supplemented by a measurement of mean ice particle size (D^*), such as might be provided by co-located lidar backscatter measurements

(Intrieri et al. 1993), then, for a 1km cloud sample with IWC exceeding $0.013gm^{-2}$, the random error in the estimated value of IWC is between +50% and -34%. The bias in the mean of many estimates of IWC is less than $\pm 8\%$.

- With D^* known, the cloud optical depth may also be determined to within this same range of accuracy. This capability meets all requirements for measurement accuracy except for the estimation of OLR from tropical cirrus.
- If the radar measurement of Z is used alone, then the random error in IWC estimates would be within the range +85% to -46%, with a bias of less than $\pm 18\%$. This provides sufficient accuracy for the validation of GCM ice cloud climatology.
- The effect of clouds only partially filling a radar range gate can further bias the inferred IWC values. The bias reaches a factor of two for a cloud occupying one tenth of a 500m gate. In practice such thin clouds are unlikely to be radiatively significant.
- Since OLR has the greatest sensitivity to changes in IWP, the use of measured OLR to further constrain the IWP when the cloud geometry has been determined by the radar needs further study.

(c) Geographical biases.

Particular differences in the response of the radar for the two observational datasets used in this study are:

- When Z is below -30 dBZ then, for a given value of Z , the tropical cirrus have an IWC larger by up to a factor of two compared to the mid-latitude cirrus. The difference is much less for Z in the range -30 to +5 dBZ. This suggests the possibility

that globally-applicable IWC-Z relationships appropriate to the estimation of area- and/or time-mean IWC may eventually be derived.

- The best-fit IWC-Z relationships derived for each D^* class are very similar for tropical and midlatitude cirrus. For D^* in the range $50 - 100\mu m$, for a given Z the tropical values of IWC are only up to 15% higher than those from the midlatitudes. For D^* in the range $200 - 300\mu m$ the differences increase from zero for a Z of 0dBZ to about 25% for a Z of -10dBZ.

Any conclusions concerning the ability of the proposed spaceborne cloud radar to detect and quantify clouds are dependant on the validation of the algorithms used to determine the radar reflectivity of ice clouds from aircraft-measured crystal size spectra. This is particularly important for cloud regions with large values of D^* , *ie.* a larger proportion of larger crystals, for which the combined effects of Mie scattering and the assumed decrease in crystal bulk density with diameter become increasingly important in limiting the value of Z (Gosset et al. 1994). There is thus a need for in-situ observations of cirrus particle size spectra that are closely co-located in time and space with 94 GHz radar observations. With the kind of spatial variability that has been observed in cirrus on space scales of a few hundred metres or less, the requirements for co-location are quite strict, and may be met best by an aircraft-mounted radar system able to view along the aircraft flight path. Such field measurements, preferably also with co-located lidar and LW radiation observations, should be a priority for development work with 94 GHz radar systems in the near future.

Acknowledgements

The EUCREX measurement campaign was funded by the Climate programme of the Commission Of The European Communities under contract EV5V-CT92-0130. We thank staff from the Meteorological Research Flight, in particular Sarah Moss and Helen Green-smith, for their assistance in the processing and provision of data from the EUCREX field campaign. The analysis of the CEPEX data at SIO was sponsored in part under NSF grant NSF-8920119. Work on the calculation of 94GHz reflectivity was supported by NERC grant GR3/8765. We would like to acknowledge the numerous discussions that have contributed to parts of this paper, in particular comments from David Atlas, Peter Francis, Keith Shine, and Tony Slingo.

References

- Atlas D, S Y Matrosov, A J Heymsfield and D B Wolff(1994) manuscript in preparation.
- Arnott W P, Y Y Dong, and J Hallett (1994) Role of small ice crystals in radiative properties of cirrus: A case study, FIRE-II, 22 Nov. 1991. *J.Geophys.Res.*, **99**, D1, 1371-1381.
- Barkstrom B R, E Harrison, G Smith, R Green, J Kibler, R Cess and the ERBE Science Team (1989) Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE) archival and April 1985 results. *Bull.Amer.Meteor.Soc.*, **70**, 1254-1262.
- Brown P R A (1993) Measurements of the ice water content of cirrus using an evaporative technique. *J.Atmos.Oceanic Technol.*, **10**., 579-590.
- Brown P R A and Francis P N (1995) Improved measurement of the ice water content in cirrus using a total water evaporator. Submitted to *J.Atmos.Oceanic Technol.*
- Francis P N, A Jones, R W Saunders, K P Shine, A Slingo, and Z Sun (1994) An observational and theoretical study of the radiative properties of cirrus: Some results from ICE'89. *Quart.J.Roy.Met.Soc.*, **120**, 809-848
- Gosset M, A J Illingworth, P R A Brown, and J Thomason (1994) manuscript in preparation.
- Gregory D (1994) Sensitivity of climate simulation to parameterisation of mixed phase cloud. (manuscript in preparation)

- Heymsfield A J (1977) Precipitation development in stratiform ice clouds: A micro-physical and dynamical study. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 34:, 367 - 383.
- Heymsfield A J and L J Donner (1990) A scheme for parameterising ice cloud water content in general circulation models. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 47, 1865-1877.
- Heymsfield A J and G M McFarquhar (1994) A dedicated microphysics mission during the Central Equatorial Pacific Experiment (CEPEX). *Amer.Met.Soc.*, 8th Conf. On Atmos. Radiation, 23-28 Jan.1994, Nashville.
- Heymsfield A J and C M R Platt (1984) A parameterization of the particle size spectrum of ice clouds in terms of the ambient temperature and the ice water content. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 41:, 846-855
- IGPO (1994) Utility and feasibility of a cloud profiling radar. IGPO Publication Series No. 10, International GEWEX Program Office.
- Imasu R and Y Iwasaka (1991) Characteristics of cirrus clouds observed by laser radar (lidar) during the spring of 1987 and the winter of 1987/88. *J.Met.Soc.Japan*, 69:, 401-411
- Intrieri J M, G L Stephens, W L Eberhard, and T Uttal (1993) A method for determining cirrus cloud particle sizes using lidar and radar backscatter techniques. *J.Appl.Met.*, 32, 1074-1082.
- Knollenberg,R.G. (1980) Techniques for probing cloud microstructure. in *Clouds: their formation, optical properties and effects.* pp.15-91 (ed. P.V.Hobbs and A.Deepak)
- Knollenberg R G, K Kelly, and J C Wilson (1993) Measurements of high number

densities of ice crystals in the tops of tropical cumulonimbus. *J.Geophys.Res.*, 98, D5, 8639-8664.

Kosarev A L and I P Mazin (1991) An empirical model of the physical structure of upper-layer clouds. *Atmos.Research.*, 26, 213-228.

Lawson R P and R H Cormack (1993) Theoretical design and preliminary tests of two new particle spectrometers for cloud microphysics research. Submitted to *Atmos.Research.*

Lilly D K, (1988) Cirrus outflow dynamics. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 45:, 1594-1605.

Lindzen R S, (1990) Some coolness regarding global warming. *Bull.Amer.Met.Soc.*, 71:, pp288-299

McClatchey R A, R W Fenn, J E A Selby, F E Volz, and J S Garing (1972) Optical Properties of the atmosphere (3rd. ed.), Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Report No. AFCRL-72-0497, L.G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.

Moss S J and Johnson D W (1994) Aircraft measurements to validate and improve numerical model parameterisation of ice to water ratio in clouds. *Atmos.Research* (to be published)

Platt C M R, Scott J C and Dilley A C (1987) Remote sounding of high clouds. Part VI: Optical Properties of midlatitude and Tropical Cirrus. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 44, 729-747.

Ramanathan V, R D Cess, E F Harrison, P Minnis, B R Barkstrom, E Ahmad, and D Hartmann (1989) Cloud-radiative forcing and climate: results from the earth radiation budget experiment. *Science*, 243, 57-63

- Ramanathan V and W Collins (1991) Thermodynamic regulation of ocean warming by cirrus clouds deduced from observations of the 1987 El Nino. *Nature*, 351, 27-32.
- Randall D A (1989) Interactions among radiation, convection, and large-scale dynamics in a general circulation model. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 46, 1943-1970
- Rieland M and R Stuhlmann (1993) Toward the influence of clouds on the shortwave radiation budget of the Earth-Atmosphere system estimated from satellite data. *J.Appl.Met.*, 32, 825-843
- Rind D, E-W Chiou, W Chu, J Larsen, S Oltmans, J Lerner, M P McCormick, and L McMaster (1991) Positive water vapour feedback in climate models confirmed by satellite data. *Nature*, 349:, pp500-503
- Senior C A and Mitchell J F B (1993) Carbon Dioxide and Climate: The impact of Cloud Parameterization. *J.Climate*, 6, 393-418.
- Smith R N B (1990) A scheme for predicting layer clouds and their water content in a general circulation model. *Quart.J.Royal Met.Soc.*, 116, 435-460.
- Starr D O'C and S K Cox (1985) Cirrus clouds. Part II. Numerical experiments on the formation and maintenance of cirrus. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 42, 2663-2681.
- Stephens G L S, Tsay S C, Stackhouse P W and Flatau P J (1990) The relevance of the microphysical and radiative properties of cirrus clouds to climate and climatic feedback. *J.Atmos.Sci.*, 47, 1742-1753.
- Sundqvist H (1978) A parameterisation scheme for non-convective condensation including prediction of cloud water content. *Quart.J.Royal Met.Soc.*, 104, 677-690.

Tiedtke M (1993) Representation of clouds in large-scale models. *Monthly Weather Rev.*, 121, 3040-3061

Tiedtke M, Klinker E, Kvamsto N-G, Morcrette J-J, Rizzi and Vesperini (1993) Cloud and Radiation Modelling at ECMWF. IAMAP International Workshop on Cloud-Radiation Interactions. NOAA, Science Center, Camp springs, MD, 18-20 October 1993.

List of Tables

- 1 Values of the "threshold of radiative significance" (τ_{TRS}), *ie.* the minimum cloud optical depth which gives values of OLR, surface downwards LW flux, F_{\downarrow} , or flux divergence, ΔF , that depart by at least 10, 5, and $10Wm^{-2}$, respectively, from the clear-sky values. Figures are given for clouds in mid-latitude winter (MLW) and tropical (TROP) standard atmospheres. . 46
- 2 Values of $\Delta\tau$, a measurement of the required relative accuracy of measurement of the optical depth, τ , in order to specify OLR and ΔF to within $10Wm^{-2}$, and F_{\downarrow} to within $5Wm^{-2}$. A value of $\Delta\tau = 0.30$ implies that τ should be measured to between $+100\%$ and -50% of the true value. Figures are given for clouds in mid-latitude winter (MLW) and tropical (TROP) standard atmospheres. 47
- 3 Means ($\overline{Log(IWC)}$) and standard deviations ($\sigma_{log(I)}$) of observations of $Log(IWC)$ for data points lying within 2.5 dBZ ranges of Z centred on the indicated values (i) with no classification by temperature or D^* , (ii) for observations stratified by means of various ranges of D^* , and (iii) for observations stratified by means of a -40C temperature threshold. The interpretation of $\sigma_{log(I)}$ is described in the text. Blank entries in the table indicate that there were fewer than 20 samples with that combination of Z and D^* 48
- 4 Coefficients a and b of the least squares-fit, $Log_{10}(IWC) = aZ + b$ 49

- 5 Values of $\sigma_{\text{Log}(I)}$, the standard deviation of $\text{Log}(IWC_{\text{estim}})$, for groups of observation having values of $\text{Log}(IWC_{\text{true}})$ lying in bands of width 0.25. The table also shows the ratio of $IWC_{\text{estim}}/IWC_{\text{true}}$. The measurement requirements, $\Delta\tau$ are taken from the worst cases shown in Table 2 for either F_{\downarrow} or ΔF 49
- 6 The figures in the table show the percentage of observations which have an estimated optical depth exceeding the τ_{TRS} and which also exceed the three different thresholds of radar reflectivity. τ_{TRS} values are taken from Table 1. The figures in brackets assume that the optical depth is double what would be estimated from 2D probe data. 50

Table 1: Values of the "threshold of radiative significance" (τ_{TRS}), *ie.* the minimum cloud optical depth which gives values of OLR, surface downwards LW flux, F_{\downarrow} , or flux divergence, ΔF , that depart by at least 10, 5, and $10 W m^{-2}$, respectively, from the clear-sky values. Figures are given for clouds in mid-latitude winter (MLW) and tropical (TROP) standard atmospheres.

	τ_{TRS} required for:		
	OLR	F_{\downarrow}	ΔF
MLW (9.5km altitude)			
CLOUD-1	0.07	0.29	
CLOUD-2	0.08	0.25	
CLOUD-3	0.08	0.23	
CLOUD-4	0.09	0.20	
MLW (4km altitude)			
CLOUD-2	0.36	0.05	0.10
TROP (16km altitude)			
CLOUD-1	0.04		0.05
CLOUD-2	0.04		0.06
CLOUD-3	0.04		0.06
CLOUD-4	0.04		0.07

Table 2: Values of $\Delta\tau$, a measurement of the required relative accuracy of measurement of the optical depth, τ , in order to specify OLR and ΔF to within $10Wm - 2$, and F_{\downarrow} to within $5Wm - 2$. A value of $\Delta\tau = 0.30$ implies that τ should be measured to between +100% and -50% of the true value. Figures are given for clouds in mid-latitude winter (MLW) and tropical (TROP) standard atmospheres.

	$\Delta\tau$ required for:		
	OLR	F_{\downarrow}	ΔF
MLW (9.5km altitude)			
CLOUD-1	0.28	0.50	
CLOUD-2	0.30	0.42	
CLOUD-3	0.31	0.42	
CLOUD-4	0.31	0.32	
MLW (4km altitude)			
CLOUD-2	1.03	0.20	0.38
TROP (16km altitude)			
CLOUD-1	0.14		0.20
CLOUD-2	0.14		0.23
CLOUD-3	0.14		0.24
CLOUD-4	0.14		0.28

Table 3: Means ($\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$) and standard deviations ($\sigma_{\log(I)}$) of observations of $\text{Log}(IWC)$ for data points lying within 2.5 dBZ ranges of Z centred on the indicated values (i) with no classification by temperature or D^* , (ii) for observations stratified by means of various ranges of D^* , and (iii) for observations stratified by means of a -40C temperature threshold. The interpretation of $\sigma_{\log(I)}$ is described in the text. Blank entries in the table indicate that there were fewer than 20 samples with that combination of Z and D^* .

		Z					
		-28.75dBZ		-13.75dBZ		-3.75dBZ	
		$\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$	$\sigma_{\log(I)}$	$\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$	$\sigma_{\log(I)}$	$\overline{\text{Log}(IWC)}$	$\sigma_{\log(I)}$
EUCREX	(i) unclassified	-2.74	0.44	-1.82	0.26	-1.13	0.18
	(ii) $D^* < 50\mu m$	-2.43	0.14	-1.24	0.10		
	$50 < D^* < 100\mu m$	-3.01	0.23	-1.71	0.17	-0.82	0.16
	$100 < D^* < 150\mu m$	-3.23	0.22	-1.93	0.14	-1.04	0.14
	$150 < D^* < 200\mu m$	-3.72	0.17	-2.03	0.17	-1.16	0.16
	$200 < D^* < 300\mu m$			-2.11	0.26	-1.20	0.14
	$D^* > 300\mu m$			-1.87	0.20	-1.15	0.13
	(iii) $T < -40C$	-2.55	0.30	-1.72	0.30	-0.85	0.24
	$T > -40C$	-2.82	0.47	-1.86	0.23	-1.15	0.16
CEPEX	(i) unclassified	-2.72	0.31	-1.83	0.28	-1.14	0.17
	(ii) $D^* < 50\mu m$	-2.57	0.17	-1.29	0.27		
	$50 < D^* < 100\mu m$	-2.99	0.18	-1.66	0.12	-0.89	0.07
	$100 < D^* < 150\mu m$	-3.38	0.19	-1.93	0.11	-1.04	0.09
	$150 < D^* < 200\mu m$	-3.57	0.12	-2.16	0.10	-1.19	0.10
	$200 < D^* < 300\mu m$			-2.32	0.11	-1.29	0.10
	$D^* > 300\mu m$					-1.27	0.12
	(iii) $T < -40C$	-2.66	0.23	-1.69	0.16	-1.03	0.13
	$T > -40C$	-3.14	0.42	-2.01	0.31	-1.19	0.15

Table 4: Coefficients a and b of the least squares-fit, $\text{Log}_{10}(IWC) = aZ + b$.

EUCREX (i)	unclassified	a	b
		0.074	-0.814
	(ii) $D^* < 50\mu m$	0.083	-0.097
	$50 < D^* < 100\mu m$	0.088	-0.487
	$100 < D^* < 150\mu m$	0.090	-0.736
	$150 < D^* < 200\mu m$	0.095	-0.793
	$200 < D^* < 300\mu m$	0.091	-0.883
	$D^* > 300\mu m$	0.078	-0.754
CEPEX (i)	unclassified	0.066	-0.795
	(ii) $D^* < 50\mu m$	0.076	-0.334
	$50 < D^* < 100\mu m$	0.086	-0.480
	$100 < D^* < 150\mu m$	0.095	-0.668
	$150 < D^* < 200\mu m$	0.095	-0.835
	$200 < D^* < 300\mu m$	0.095	-0.901
	$D^* > 300\mu m$	0.108	-0.851

Table 5: Values of $\sigma_{\text{Log}(I)}$, the standard deviation of $\text{Log}(IWC_{\text{estim}})$, for groups of observation having values of $\text{Log}(IWC_{\text{true}})$ lying in bands of width 0.25. The table also shows the ratio of $IWC_{\text{estim}}/IWC_{\text{true}}$. The measurement requirements, $\Delta\tau$ are taken from the worst cases shown in Table 2 for either F_1 or ΔF .

	Method	IWC_{true}	$\sigma_{\text{log}(I)}$	$\frac{IWC_{\text{estim}}}{IWC_{\text{true}}}$
EUCREX $\Delta\tau = 0.32$ ($z = 9.5\text{km}$) $\Delta\tau = 0.20$ ($z = 4.0\text{km}$)	IWC_{Z,D^*}	1.3×10^{-3}	0.26	1.06
		1.3×10^{-2}	0.18	0.95
		7.5×10^{-2}	0.15	0.93
	IWC_Z	1.3×10^{-3}	0.38	1.15
		1.3×10^{-2}	0.27	0.89
		7.5×10^{-2}	0.24	0.88
CEPEX $\Delta\tau = 0.20$	IWC_{Z,D^*}	1.3×10^{-3}	0.19	1.01
		1.3×10^{-2}	0.14	0.96
		1.3×10^{-1}	0.10	1.03
	IWC_Z	1.3×10^{-3}	0.37	1.20
		1.3×10^{-2}	0.20	0.97
		1.3×10^{-1}	0.15	1.02

Table 6: The figures in the table show the percentage of observations which have an estimated optical depth exceeding the τ_{TRS} and which also exceed the three different thresholds of radar reflectivity. τ_{TRS} values are taken from Table 1. The figures in brackets assume that the optical depth is double what would be estimated from 2D probe data.

	-40 dBZ	-30 dBZ	-20 dBZ
EUCREX, MLW			
OLR ($\tau_{TRS}=0.07$)	100.0 (99.8)	99.3 (98.6)	91.6 (87.0)
F_1 ($\tau_{TRS}=0.09$)	100.0 (99.8)	99.5 (98.8)	92.2 (87.9)
CEPEX, TROP			
OLR ($\tau_{TRS}=0.04$)	99.9 (99.3)	95.7 (90.2)	76.2 (68.6)
ΔF ($\tau_{TRS}=0.05$)	99.9 (99.6)	97.2 (92.0)	78.8 (70.7)

CURRENT JCMM INTERNAL REPORTS

This series of JCMM Internal Reports, initiated in 1993, contains unpublished reports and also versions of articles submitted for publication. The complete set of Internal Reports is available from the National Meteorological Library on loan, if required.

1. **Research strategy and programme**
K A Browning et al
January 1993
2. **The GEWEX Cloud System Study (GCSS)**
GEWEX Cloud System Science Team
January 1993
3. **Evolution of a mesoscale upper tropospheric vorticity maximum and comma cloud from a cloud-free two dimensional potential vorticity anomaly**
K A Browning
January 1993
4. **The global energy and water cycle**
K A Browning
July 1993
5. **Structure of a midlatitude cyclone before occlusion**
K A Browning and N Roberts
July 1993
6. **Developments in systems and tools for weather forecasting**
K A Browning and G Szejwach
July 1993
7. **Diagnostic study of a narrow cold frontal rainband and severe winds associated with a stratospheric intrusion**
K A Browning and R Reynolds
August 1993
8. **Survey of perceived priority issues in the parametrizations of cloud-related processes in GCMs**
K A Browning
September 1993
9. **The effect of rain on longwave radiation**
I Dharssi
September 1993
10. **Cloud microphysical processes - a description of the parametrization used in the large eddy model**
H Swann
October 1993
11. **An appreciation of the meteorological research of Ernst Kleinschmidt**
A J Thorpe
May 1992
12. **Potential vorticity of flow along the Alps**
A J Thorpe, H Volkert and Dietrich Heimann
August 1992
13. **The representation of fronts**
A J Thorpe
January 1993

14. **A parametrization scheme for symmetric instability: test for an idealised flow**
C S Chan and A J Thorpe
February 1993
15. **The Fronts 92 Experiment: a Quicklook Atlas**
Edited by T D Hewson
November 1993
16. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 1: Linear wave dynamics
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993
17. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 2. The suppression of non-linear wave development
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993
18. **Gravity waves in sheared ducts**
S Monserrat and A J Thorpe
October 1993
19. **Potential vorticity and the electrostatics analogy: quasi-geostrophic theory**
C Bishop and A J Thorpe
November 1993
20. **Recent advances in the measurement of precipitation by radar**
A J Illingworth
April 1993
21. **Micro-physique et givrage: cloud microphysics and aircraft icing**
A J Illingworth
May 1993
22. **Differential phase measurements of precipitation**
M Blackman and A J Illingworth
May 1993
23. **Estimation of effective radius of cloud particles from the radar reflectivity**
N I Fox and A J Illingworth
May 1993
24. **A simple method of Dopplerising a pulsed magnetron radar**
A Hua, A J Illingworth and J Eastment
November 1993
25. **Radiation and polar lows**
George C Craig
February 1994
26. **Collected preprints submitted to the International Symposium on the Life Cycles of Extratropical Cyclones; Bergen, Norway, 27 June - 1 July 1994**
April 1994
27. **Convective frontogenesis**
Douglas J Parker and Alan J Thorpe
April 1994
28. **Improved measurement of the ice water content in cirrus using a total water evaporator**
Philip R A Brown and Peter N Francis
April 1994

29. **Mesoscale effects of a dry intrusion within a vigorous cyclone**
K A Browning and B W Golding
April 1994
30. **GEWEX Cloud System Study, Science Plan**
May 1994
31. **Parametrization of momentum transport by convectively generated gravity waves**
R Kershaw
May 1994
32. **Mesoscale Modelling Newsletter No 5**
May 1994
33. **Observations of the mesoscale sub-structure in the cold air of a developing frontal cyclone**
K A Browning, S A Clough, C S A Davitt, N M Roberts and T D Hewson
May 1994
34. **Longwave radiative forcing of a simulated tropical squall line**
Imtiaz Dharssi
July 1994
35. **On the nature of the convective circulation at a kata-cold front**
K A Browning
September 1994
36. **Collective preprints of papers submitted to the COST-75 International Seminar on Advanced Weather Radar Systems, Brussels, 20-23 September 1994**
November 1994
37. **Use of satellite imagery to diagnose events leading to frontal thunderstorms: Parts I and II of a case study**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
November 1994
38. **The role of spaceborne millimetre-wave radar in the global monitoring of ice cloud**
P R A Brown, A J Illingworth, A J Heymsfield, G M McFarquhar, K A Browning and M Gosset
December 1994

